

REMARKS

Claims 1 through 3, 6 through 12, 16, 18 through 47, 49 through 54, 57, 59, 60, 63 through 65, and 79 through 85 are currently pending in the application and subject to a Restriction Requirement. Claims 19 through 23, 29 through 47, 49 through 51, 63, and 80 through 82 are withdrawn from consideration.

Restriction Requirement

The Office has identified the following groups of claims as being drawn to separate inventions:

Group I: Claims 1 through 3, 6 through 12, 16, 18 through 47, 49 through 54, 57, 59, 60, and 63 through 65 are drawn to an evaporator including an intermediate liquid fluent heat exchange material and a wick, classified in class 165, subclass 104.26; and

Group II: Claims 79 through 85 are drawn to a method of transferring heat using an intermediate liquefied fluent heat exchange material and including means to move the heat exchange material in a liquid state, classified in class 165, subclass 104.22.

Applicants hereby elects the claims of Group I, claims 1 through 3, 6 through 12, 16, 18 through 47, 49 through 54, 57, 59, 60, and 63 through 65, without traverse. Accordingly, each of claims 79 through 85 has been withdrawn from consideration.

Applicants identify that claim 1 is generic to each of previously withdrawn claims 19 through 23 as each of claims 19 through 23 depends from claim 1. Consequently, Applicants assert that if claim 1 is determined to be allowable, each of claims 19 through 23 should also be allowed.

Applicants further identify that claim 1 is generic to each of previously withdrawn claims 29 through 47 and 49 through 51 as independent claim 29 and claims 30 through 47 and 49 through 51, depending therefrom, require all the limitations of claim 1. Consequently, Applicants assert that if claim 1 is determined to be allowable, each of claims 29 through 47 and 49 through 51 should also be allowed.


Applicants finally identify that claim 52 is generic to previously withdrawn claim 63 as claim 63 depends from claim 52. Consequently, Applicants assert that if claim 52 is determined to be allowable, claim 63 should also be allowed.

CONCLUSION

Applicants again thank the Examiner for her patience regarding the previous election of species and appreciate the Examiner's entering of the amendments set forth in the previous office action.

In view of the foregoing, it is respectfully requested that each of claims 1, 2, 3, 6 through 12, 16, 18, 24 through 28, 52 through 54, 57, 59, 60, 64, and 65 be considered on the merits.

Respectfully submitted,

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read 'GCB', with a long horizontal flourish extending to the right.

Gregory C. Baker
Registration No. 61,335
Attorney for Applicants
TRASKBRITT
P.O. Box 2550
Salt Lake City, Utah 84110-2550
Telephone: 801-532-1922

Date: June 10, 2010
GCB/djp:rkz
Document in ProLaw